SUPREME COURT COPY

No. S239958

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CAL FIRE LOCAL 2881 (formerly known as CDF Firefighters), et al.

Petitioners and Appellants,

v.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMUREME COURT (CalPERS)

Defendant and Respondent,

and

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Intervener and Respondent.

OCT 05 2018

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

Deputy

On Review From The Court Of Appeal For the First Appellate District, Division Three, Civil No. A142793

After An Appeal From the Superior Court For The State of California, County of Alameda, Case Number RG12661622, Hon. Evelio Grillo, Presiding Judge

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS AND APPELLANTS

MESSING ADAM & JASMINE LLP Gary M. Messing, Bar No. 75363 gary@majlabor.com *Gregg McLean Adam, Bar No. 203436 gregg@majlabor.com Jason H Jasmine, Bar No. 215757 jason@majlabor.com Yonatan L. Moskowitz, Bar No. 306717 yonatan@majlabor.com

235 Montgomery St., Suite 828 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: 415.266.1800

Facsimile: 415.266.1128

RECEIVED

OCT 05 2018

CLERK SUPREME COURT

Attorneys for Petitioners and Appellants CAL FIRE Local 2881, et al.

No. S239958

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CAL FIRE LOCAL 2881 (formerly known as CDF Firefighters), et al.

Petitioners and Appellants,

v.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (CalPERS)

Defendant and Respondent,

and

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Intervener and Respondent.

On Review From The Court Of Appeal For the First Appellate District, Division Three, Civil No. A142793

After An Appeal From the Superior Court For The State of California, County of Alameda, Case Number RG12661622, Hon. Evelio Grillo, Presiding Judge

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS AND APPELLANTS

MESSING ADAM & JASMINE LLP Gary M. Messing, Bar No. 75363 gary@majlabor.com *Gregg McLean Adam, Bar No. 203436 gregg@majlabor.com Jason H Jasmine, Bar No. 215757 jason@majlabor.com Yonatan L. Moskowitz, Bar No. 306717 yonatan@majlabor.com 235 Montgomery St., Suite 828 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: 415.266.1800

Facsimile: 415.266.1128

Attorneys for Petitioners and Appellants CAL FIRE Local 2881, et al.

Petitioners file this Supplemental Brief in compliance with Rule 8.520, subd. (d) in order to call this Court's attention to new authority not available in time to be included in Petitioners' prior briefing.

The relevant new authority is Senate Bill ("SB") 1085 (2017–2018 Reg. Sess.). SB 1085 is appropriately raised in a supplemental brief because it was approved by the Governor and chaptered by the Secretary of State on September 28, 2018, and was therefore unavailable before Petitioners' Reply Brief was filed on January 22, 2018, or when their Consolidated Answer to Amici Curiae was filed on April 23, 2018. (Cal. Rules Court, rule 8.520(d) ["A party may file a supplemental brief limited to . . . new legislation, or other matters that were not available in time to be included in the party's brief on the merits."]

SB 1085 directly refutes arguments made by the Governor as Intervener/Respondent in the merits briefing filed before this Court.

SB 1085 created Government Code section 3558.8, subd. (e), which permits public employees to earn "full service credit" during time they spend not working for the State because they are serving their labor unions as stewards or officers. This undermines the Governor's argument that withdrawing the offer to purchase Additional Retirement Service Credit ("ARSC") was "critical to restor[e] the severed link between pension benefits and public service." (Ans. Br. at p. 45.) Petitioners are not arguing that representation of fellow employees on a union-leave sabbatical is not

public service. On the contrary, where our labor relations statutes recognize the integral part "peaceful employer-employee relations" play in ensuring labor peace (Ralph C. Dills Act, Gov. Code § 3512; see also Meyers-Milias-Brown Act, Gov. Code § 3500), such endeavors are quintessentially public service.

But in its Answer Brief, the State adopted the trial court's claim that ARSC had "broke[n] the link between work performed and benefits earned," and rejected Petitioners' argument that ARSC was but one of many instances in which pension system credit was accumulated without performing work for the State. (Ans. Br. at pp. 45-46 [citing JA 392])

The link had never been severed, and the recent decision to create new, alternative means to accrue service credit demonstrates that the Governor's litigating position is purely opportunistic. The theory of a pension system does not require that pension service credit be exclusively linked to time spent working for the State – there are any number of service-credit enhancements that the Legislature has created to which employees can earn vested rights. (See SB 1085 [creating Gov. Code § 3558.8 sub. (e)]; Petitioners Opening Br. at pp. 11-12, [citing Gov. Code §§ 21032, 21033, 21020, 21024, 20898, 20902.5].) The Legislature created these opportunities for pension service enhancements – be they ARSC, military service credit, union leave credit, or any other credit cited above –

because the Legislature believed they were, on balance, beneficial to the State.

Therefore, the Governor's argument that ARSC needed to be eliminated in order to restore faith in the pension system and to ensure that pensions were linked to time spent working for the State should not be credited.

DATED: October 5, 2018

MESSING ADAM & JASMINE LLP

By:

Gary M. Messing

Gregg McLean Adam

Jason H Jasmine

Yonatan L. Moskowitz

Attorneys for Petitioners and

Appellants CAL FIRE Local 2881,

et al.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT RULE 8.504(d)(1)

Pursuant to California Rules of Court Rule 8.504(d)(1), I certify that according to Microsoft Word the attached brief is proportionally-spaced, has a typeface of 13 points and contains 515 words.

DATED: October 5, 2018

MESSING ADAM & JASMINE LLP

By:

Gary M. Messing

Gregg McLean Adam

Jason H Jasmine

Yonatan L. Moskowitz

Attorneys for Petitioners and

Appellants CAL FIRE Local 2881,

et al.

00059624-1

PROOF OF SERVICE

CAL FIRE Local 2881, et al. v. CalPERS (State of California) California Supreme Court, Case No. S239958

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is 235 Montgomery St., Suite 828, San Francisco, CA 94104.

On October 5, 2018, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF PETITIONERS AND APPELLANTS** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

See also attached SERVICE LIST OF AMICI CURIAE

Matthew G. Jacobs, General Counsel Preet Kaur, Senior Staff Counsel * CalPERS Lincoln Plaza North 400 Q Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Ph: (916) 795-1054 Email: preet.kaur@calpers.ca.gov Counsel for California Public Employees' Retirement System (CALPERS), Defendant and Respondent

Peter A. Krause, Legal Affairs Secretary Rei R. Onishi, Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary Office of the Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr. State Capitol, Suite 1713 1315 10th Street Sacramento, CA 95817 Ph: 916.445.2873 Email: Rei.Onishi@gov.ca.gov

Counsel for The State of California, Intervener and Respondent

Office of the Court Clerk Alameda County Superior Court 1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612

Office of the Court Clerk California Court of Appeal First Appellate District, Division 3 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102 BY HAND DELIVERY

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and in the attached Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Messing Adam & Jasmine LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at San Francisco, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 5, 2018 at San Francisco, California.

SERVICE LIST OF AMICI CURIAE

CAL FIRE Local 2881, et al. v. CalPERS (State of California) California Supreme Court, Case No. S239958

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Peter Warren Saltzman Arthur Wei-Wei Liu Leonard Carder 1330 Broadway, Suite 1450 Oakland, CA 94612 Attorneys for: International Federation of Professional and Technical Employees Local 21 : Amicus curiae

Amalgamated Transit Union Local

1555 : Amicus curiae

Amalgamated Transit Union Local

1225 : Amicus curiae

Alameda County Management Employees Association : Pub/Depublication Requestor

Operating Engineers Local Union

No. 3: Amicus curiae

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 1245:

Amicus curiae

Physicians' and Dentists'
Organization of Contra Costa:

Amicus curiae

Stephen H. Silver Rains Lucia Stern St. Phalle & Silver, P.C. 1428 Second Street, Suite 200 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Attorneys for:

Los Angeles Police Protective

League: Amicus curiae

Ventura County Deputy Sheriffs' Association: Amicus curiae

California Association of Highway

Patrol: Amicus curiae

Garden Grove Police Association:

Amicus curiae

California Statewide Law

Enforcement Association: Amicus

curiae

Orange County Employees' Association: Amicus curiae

Los Angeles County Professional
Peace Officers' Association:
Amicus curiae
Association for Los Angeles Deputy
Sheriffs: Amicus curiae
Deputy Sheriffs' Association of
Santa Clara: Amicus curiae
Fresno Deputy Sheriffs' Association: Amicus curiae
Coalition of Santa Monica City
Employees: Amicus curiae
Antioch Police Officers'
Association: Amicus curiae

Karen Peckham Hewitt Jones Day 4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92121

Glenn E. Rothner Rothner Segall & Greenstone 510 S. Marengo Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101 Attorneys for: California Business Roundtable : Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:
American Federation of State
County and Municipal Employees:
Amicus curiae
American Federation of Teachers:
Amicus curiae
National Education Association:
Amicus curiae
Service Employees International
Union: Amicus curiae
California Faculty Association:
Amicus curiae
California Federation of Teachers:
Amicus curiae
California Teachers Association:

Timothy T. Coates Greines Martin Stein Richland, LLP 5900 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90036 Attorneys for: Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association : Amicus curiae

Amicus curiae

Brian J. Bartow Scott Stephen Brooks California State Teachers' Retirement System P.O. Box 15275 Sacramento, CA95851-0275

Marianne Reinhold Reich Adell Crost & Cvitan 2670 N. Main Street, Suite 300 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Jonathan M. Coupal Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn 921 11th St #1201 Sacramento, CA 95814; and

Bradley Alan Benbrook Benbrook Law Group, PC 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1610 Sacramento, CA 95814

Kenneth H. Lounsbery Alena Shamos Lounsbery Ferguson Altona & Peak, LLP 960 Canterbury Place, Suite 300 Escondido, CA 92025

Linda M. Ross Renne Sloan Holtzman Sakai LLP 350 Sansome Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104

Joshua Evan Morrison Anthony Paul DeMarco Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo 12800 Center Court Drive South, #300 Cerritos, CA 90703 Attorneys for: California State Teachers' Retirement System : Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:
Orange County Attorneys
Association: Amicus curiae

Orange County Managers Association: Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:

Ventura County Taxpayers Association: Amicus curiae Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association: Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:

City of Pacific Grove : Amicus

curiae

Attorneys for:
League of California Cities:
Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:

Association of California School Administrators : Amicus curiae Daniel M. Kolkey Gibson Dunn & Crutcher 555 Mission Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94105

Isaac Sean Stevens David E. Mastagni Mastagni Holstedt, APC 1912 I Street Sacramento, CA 95811

Bruce Goldstein Office of the Sonoma County Counsel 575 Administration Drive, #105A Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dennis Bunting Office of the Solano County Counsel 675 Texas Street Fairfield, CA 94533

00049962-1

Attorneys for:

Pacific Research Institute : Amicus

curiae

Attorneys for:

Deputy Sheriffs' Association of Alameda County: Amicus curiae El Dorado County Deputy Sheriff's Association: Amicus curiae

Ontario Police Officers'
Association: Amicus curiae
Sacramento Police Officers
Association: Amicus curiae
Sacramento County Deputy
Sheriff's Association: Amicus

curiae

Attorneys for:

County of Sonoma: Amicus curiae

Attorneys for:

County of Solano: Amicus curiae